UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/679,222	10/03/2003 Gaston S. Ormazabal		03-1510	3274	
25537 VERIZON	7590 01/15/200	9	EXAMINER		
	NAGEMENT GROUP	JOHNSON, CARLTON			
1320 North Cou 9th Floor	iri House Road	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201-2909	2436			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/15/2009	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@verizon.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/679,222	ORMAZABAL ET AL.		
Examiner	A ( 1 1 14		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	CARLTON V. JOHNSC	N N	2436			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet	with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 23 December 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CON	IDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendme al (with appeal fee) in co	nt, affidavit ompliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the da ter than SIX MONTHS from b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b)	n the mailing	date of the final rejectio	n.		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sloset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the correspondi nortened statutory period fo	ng amount c or reply origir	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41	.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	sideration and/or search v); er form for appeal by ma	n (see NOT aterially red	E below); ucing or simplifying th			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).	 owable if submitted in a	separate, ti	mely filed amendmer	t canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			be entered and an ex	planation of		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejections ur and was not earlier pres	nder appea sented.  Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			•			
<ul> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)</li> </ul>						
13. Other:	TO/OD/00/ Paper NO(S	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
/Nasser G Moazzami/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2436	/C. V. J./ Examiner, Art l	Jnit 2436				

Response to Remarks

The amended claims will not be entered since the scope of Claim 1 and its associated dependent claims has been changed.

## **Examiner Position**

The arguments were not persuasive in overcoming the currently rejected claims.

1. Claims 2, 4 - 6, 10 - 12, 18, 19, 22 - 24, 28, 29 are allowable. Claims 2, 4, 10, 18, 22, 28 have been rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims and therefore are allowable. Claims 5, 6, 11, 12, 19, 23, 24, 29 are allowable due to dependence on an allowable base.

Applicant's previous arguments, see Applicant Arguments/Remarks Made in an Amendment, filed January 31, 2008, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 2, 4, 10, 18, 22, 23, 28, 29 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Katz et al. (US PGPUB No. 20040039938) and under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Katz-McClure and further in view of MeLampy et al. (US PGPUB No. 20020112073) have been fully considered and were persuasive. The rejection(s) were previously withdrawn.

In addition Applicant has been informed that Claim 30 does not include the limitations of the base claims present in the other proposed independent claims. If rewritten in a similar form, claim 30 will be in allowable form and is allowed. Applicant has not rewritten claim 30 in independent form. Therefore, Claim 30 is not allowable in its present form.

Katz discloses controlling the opening and closing of a port in conjunction with a session initiation and session termination process. The Katz prior art discloses a port opening on the basis of detecting a communications session initiation and port closings in conjunction with session closings or termination. (see Katz paragraph [0027], lines 1-9; paragraph [0033], lines 5-11) Katz discloses communications for network security and the concept of opening and closing ports in conjunction with a session initiation procedure and session termination procedure to create a communications structure equivalent to a pinhole. The session initiation is in conjunction with the opening a port and session termination is in conjunction with closing a port. The Katz prior art discloses monitoring the operation a system to reach a determination for a delay value in the opening and closing ports (session communications interface).

The rejection of the dependent claims (non allowable claims) is based on the rejection of the independent claims and the rejection of the dependent claims' additional claim limitations.

A delay is defined as the time period between two events. Namely, for the opening of a port event, the delay is the time period between a closed port state and an open port state. And, for the closing of a port event, the delay is the time period between an open port state and a closed port state. The Katz prior art discloses a time calculation for opening a port and closing a port. This time calculation includes a time delay portion calculation. Katz discloses the calculation of multiple types of delay and an opening and closing delay is well known in the art. (see Katz paragraphs [0011] and [0012]: multiple types of delay)

In order to adjust a value, the current state of a value namely the delay time (time period between closed and opened states or between opened and closed states) must first be determined, and then the value can be adjusted. The Katz prior art discloses the determination of a port opening time period and a port closing time period. The delay value is the time period for a transition from one state to the next state.

The Katz prior art discloses a time stamp for communications that pass through a communications session (session signaling) interface. The opening of a port is a communications initiation function and is the first signal to pass through a communications session interface. (see Katz paragraph [0014], lines 4-9; paragraph [0016], lines 5-10: time stamp communications processing; paragraph [0013], lines 5-9; paragraph [0030], lines 1-4: session signaling, port opening, port closing, communications session established, terminated))